Comments on Draft Scoping Document for Environmental Assessment of Corridor Exploration: Lease (1105).

# We do not recognize the CNLOPB as a valid body for the coordination and review of environmental assessments:

#### CNLOPB is biased towards development:

- Offshore Helicopter Safety inquiry identified a conflict of interest inherent in the regulatory structure.
- > CNLOPB is a direct economic beneficiary of development.
- Conflict of interest in CNLOPB is amplified for environmental management because the CNLOPB can approve or reject environmental assessments.
- If environmental assessments are approved, the company graduates to the next stage of project development, and CNLOPB collects more money.

### CNLOPB lacks environmental expertise:

- None of the CNLOPB board members have any environmental expertise or previous experience.
- The expertise of the CNLOPB's chief environmental has not been made available to the public.
- > Wells report found opportunity for regulatory capture.
- The CNLOPB does not develop environmental policies, and it merely issues recommendations which it does not follow up on.

#### CNLOPB has negligent environmental record:

- CNLOPB does not appreciate risks involved with exploratory and has taken a minimalist approach to environmental assessment. (Screening requirement only)
- > The risk of trans-boundary effects should have initiated full public review.
- The risk of trans-boundary effects necessitates Strategic Environmental Assessments which include the whole Gulf.
- In the fall of 2010 a seismic program was initiated at a time when (SARA) Blue Whales, and (COSEWIC) listed cod where in the area. Mitigation would have required the project be postponed until migration of species was complete.
- In the fall of 2010 a seismic program was approved despite opposition from dozens of groups.
- In 2010 a Chevron exploratory drilling program was approved (at depth 1000m deeper than the Gulf of Mexico) before the factors surrounding the BP well were investigated, documented, and appreciated.
- In 2004, and 2007, there were major spills in the CNLOPB offshore area. No public inquiry was launched.
- The CNLOPB has failed to implement recommendations of the Terra Nova full panel review. This includes the failure to accommodate the repeated call for independent environmental monitors.

## Environmental Assessments touching on the Gulf of St. Lawrence are outside of CNLOPB Jurisdiction:

- The jurisdiction of the CNLOPB offshore area is limited to project management, and the sharing of revenue.
- The CNLOPB is not mandated to preside over environmental matters of national concern.
- The CNLOPB does not have the jurisdiction to hear environmental assessments for the Gulf of St. Lawrence.
- > CNLOPB offshore area does not qualify as an actual delineation.
  - 1. Offshore resources and the ocean environment belong to the federal government. (1967, 1984 Supreme Court Rulings)
  - 2. Marine environment is a matter of National Concern. ( Queen vs. Crown Zellerbach 1988)
  - *3.* The Atlantic Accords are subsequent MOU's are non-binding on the federation as a whole.
  - *4.* For the CNLOPB offshore area to be an actual jurisdiction it would have entailed constitutional modifications.
  - 5. Constitutional modification would have to be approved by the other Gulf provinces.
  - 6. The Gulf provinces have never recognized the CNLOPB as a body with the national concern.

Note: This document expresses the views of Magdalen Island Fishermen, and Marilyn Clark.

RPPIM- Marcel Cormier,

RPPUM- Ghislain Cyr,

Inshore Fishermen Association- David Burke,

Marilyn Clark-